

From: [james rogers](#)
To: [California Water Commission](#)
Subject: proposed Pacheco Dam
Date: Monday, April 15, 2024 11:48:57 AM

Some people who received this message don't often get email from jrogers@garlic.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

Dear California Water Commissioners,

I oppose the building of this dam and believe you should not use Water Storage Investment Program funds to support it. As a resident of Santa Clara County and constituent of Valley Water, I believe there are many reasons why this project should be dropped before more funds are spent.

- 1) There is no new water supply, only storage of existing water.
- 2) The location is geologically unstable.
- 3) It will have major impacts on wildlife in the area and native cultural resources.
- 4)The construction and truck traffic impacts on the Pacheco Pass area and Hwy. 152 will be tremendous. Parts of 152 are only 2 lanes and winding for about 10 miles. Accidents are frequent. CalTrans and VTA have been looking for a better connector to Hwy 101 for years, but the funding is not available.
- 5) Other alternatives such as conservation, recycling and several small projects will yield quicker and improved water savings.

Sincerely,

Connie Rogers
7690 Santa Theresa Drive
Gilroy, CA 95020
408-842-8494

cc Valley Water Board Members