



Tuesday, 18 May 2021

**To: All Member of the California Water Commission**

From: Patrick Porgans, Government Regulatory Analyst – Public Records Forensic Accountant

**Attn: Laura Jensen and Paul Cambra**

Re: Comments Pertaining to the CWC White Paper-Assessing a State Role in Financing Conveyance Projects

**My name is Patrick Porgans, serving as a de facto Public Trustee, submits the following comments on behalf of Planetary Solutionaries, a nonprofit organization. [www.planetarysolutionaries.org](http://www.planetarysolutionaries.org)**

**FYI:** I have spent the previous five-decades conducting Fact-Finding Forensic Assessments of state and federal water projects from the Front Range (100<sup>th</sup> Meridian-Denver) to the Canadian and Mexican borders). In all, I completed more than 100 reports, assessing every aspect of federal and state water projects. In particular, I have completed the most extensive assessment of the California State Water Project and the Federal Central Valley Project. A synopsis of the reports that I have completed on the *State of the State Water Project* are listed herein.

**To begin, the Commission is to be commended for pursuing the daunting and challenging task at hand. While there is no doubt that in light of the climate change, increasing demands for water, aging infrastructure, and the historical lack of a comprehensive interactive surface and groundwater program requires such an effort, to wit, I offer the following preliminary concerns, suggestions and recommendations.**

**The people of California and U.S. taxpayers have expended more than \$50 billion on a myriad of projects to ensure water supply reliability, clean water, and to protect invaluable public trust resources such as the San Francisco Bay and Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta-Estuary. However, as was pointed out by Felicia Marcus, former chair of the State Water Resources Control Board the Bay-Delta ecosystem is on the verge of an ecological collapse. Her comment was made during the course of the so-called California Water Fix proceedings, which, essential came to another dead end, back in November 2018.**

**Ironically, the major factor contributing to the demise of the impending collapse is the direct result of the conveyance of SWP and CVP water across the delta to their respective pumping plants in the southern portion of the delta. It is important to note, that the enabling legislation for the SWP, authorized by California voters, back in November 1960, under section 12934 of the CA Water Code, provided funds for the construction of Master Levees to convey water across the delta. However, do to the fact that the SWP was knowingly underfinanced and contracted to provide more water than the project could supply,**





**FYI:** Financial shortcoming of the knowingly underfinanced SWP facilities altered the level of flood protection and safety that would have otherwise been provided.

- a. The initial Federal energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) license, issued to the Department of Water Resources (DWR), in 1957, FERC Project No. 2100, included the construction of a concrete gravity dam, which was later modified, at DWR's request, to be an earthen (zone-filled) structure.
- b. Public records confirm the SWP was underfinanced since its inception, in order to make up for the financial shortcoming, DWR cut-corners, which reduced the level of protection and safety that would have otherwise been provided.
- c. *"In October 1960, Charles T. Main, Inc., declared the project to be engineering feasible, but gave qualified answers to the questions of economic and financial feasibility. It pointed out the probability that construction costs would escalate, questioned the ability of agricultural water users to repay their share of the costs, and declared that the state must be prepared to assume the risk that it might not be completely reimbursed during the bond repayment period. Specifically, it stated that the Burns-Porter Act [enabling legislation authorizing the state Water Project facilities] fell slightly short of providing construction funds on the basis of 1960 costs."*<sup>7</sup>
- d. Governor Edmund "Pat" Brown, Sr., the architect of the State Water Project conceded that the SWP was underfinanced since its inception. ***"We had to scrape and pull to put the project over. I mean don't kid felt we could get a bond act. We were afraid to make it \$2 billion. It was like a \$1.99 rather than \$2.00. We thought that sounded better to the people."***<sup>8</sup>
- e. *We recognized that the [SWP] water project was going to be short in 1963 and that's why we [the Department of Water Resources] issued revenue bonds for the Oroville Dam.*<sup>9</sup>
- f. *"Recognizing the shortages inherent in financing of the State Water Project, the department in 1963 proposed to issue revenue bonds under the authorization contained in the Central Valley Project Act and based upon the sale of Oroville-Thermalito power. The authorization to issue such bonds was upheld by the California Supreme Court in the same year."*<sup>10</sup>
- g. ***"The [SWP] was underfinanced since the very start. It is not my intention to dwell on this, but the people were allowed to believe that the original bond issue would cover the cost. This was never true."*** –Gov. Ronald Reagan.<sup>11</sup>
- h. Porgans spent 15-years, at his own expense, conducting an exhaustive review of the history, planning, operation, maintenance, and financing of the SWP. He completed a series of fact-finding forensic report that focused on the ***"State of the State Water Project."***<sup>12</sup>

---

<sup>7</sup> Senate Committee on Water Resources, Progress Report to the Legislature, 1968, Regular Session, **Report No. 2, State Water Project Financing**, p. 12.

<sup>8</sup> Malca Chall, Regional Oral History Office, the Bancroft Library, University of Berkeley, California, Governmental History Documentation Project, Goodwin Knight/Edmund Brown, Sr., Era, Edmund G. Brown, Sr., **The California Water Project, Personal Interest and Development in the Legislation, Public Support, and Construction, 1950-1966**. Interview conducted by Malca Chall in 1979, published in 1981, p. 45.

<sup>9</sup> Patrick Porgans Taped-recorded Interview with Donald Sandison, Comptroller, Department of Water Resources, **Financing of the State Water Project**, March 26, 1982, and April 23, 1982.

<sup>10</sup> Patrick Porgans, Government Regulatory Specialist, Red-Tape Abatement, Ltd., **The State of the State Water Project, Transcript – Interview between Patrick Porgans and Lawrence Swenson, Chief, State Water Project Analysis Office and Donald A. Sandison, Fiscal Advisor, Comptroller, Division of Fiscal Services, California Department of Water Resources**: Reported by, Juli Price Jackson, Certified Shorthand Reporter, CSR No. 5214, 1981.

<sup>11</sup> San Francisco Chronicle, 15 November, 1969.

<sup>12</sup> Patrick Porgans Taped-recorded Interview with Donald Sandison, Comptroller, Department of Water Resources, **Financing of the State Water Project**, March 26, 1982, and April 23, 1982.

- i. The inherent financial shortcomings of the underfinanced and contractually over committed State Water Project came to a crescendo in 1994 when a report Porgans prepared for the California State Legislature's Senate Agricultural and Water Committee held a series of hearings, based on Porgans' report. During those Senate hearing, both the DWR and the SWP contractors conceded the Project was facing a financial crisis. The crisis stemmed from the fact that major agricultural contractors could not pay for the water. Default on the repayment of the General Obligation Bonds, used to finance the SWP, was imminent danger of going into default, which would adversely affect the credit rating of the State of California.<sup>13</sup>
- j. The State water Project is faced with major problems and challenges. "The project is in a financial crisis and if it was a private business, it would be bankrupt," according to Tom Clark, general manager of the Kern County Water Agency, the second largest SWP contractor, provide the majority of water to agricultural clients.<sup>14</sup>
- k. Porgans completed a series of Fact-Finding Reports pertaining to the DWR's mismanagement and failure to comply with federal and state flood control rules and regulations at the SWP Oroville facilities that contributed to the 1980, 1986, 1997, and 2017 floods that caused significant damages and loss of lives, downstream from the Oroville Dam. These reports were prepared for clients and law firms.<sup>15</sup>

---

<sup>12</sup> Patrick Porgans, Government Regulatory Specialist, *State of the State Water Project, Report No. 1, Who's Financing It? Is it Paying-for-Itself?* 1980.

Patrick Porgans, Government Regulatory Specialist, Red-Tape Abatement, Ltd., *The State of the State Water Project, Transcript – Interview between Patrick Porgans and Lawrence Swenson, Chief, State Water Project Analysis Office and Donald A. Sandison, Fiscal Advisor, Comptroller, Division of Fiscal Services, California Department of Water Resources*: Reported by, Juli Price Jackson, Certified Shorthand Reporter, CSR No. 5214, 1981.

Patrick Porgans, Government Regulatory Specialist, Red-Tape Abatement, Ltd., *The State of the State Water Project, Transcript – Interview between Patrick Porgans and Donald A. Sandison, Fiscal Advisor, Comptroller, Division of Fiscal Services, California department of Water Resources*, Reported by, Juli Price Jackson, Certified Shorthand Reporter, CSR No. 5214, 1981.

Patrick Porgans, Government Regulatory Specialist, Red-Tape Abatement, Ltd., *State of the State Water Project, Transcript – Interview between Patrick Porgans and Ray Walsh, Chief, Water rights Division, California State Water Resources Control Board: Appropriated and Unappropriated Water in California*, 1982.

Patrick Porgans, Government Regulatory Specialist, Red-Tape Abatement, Ltd., *The State of the State water Project, Report No. 2, The state water Project has been Underfinanced Since Its Inception: The Project is Unable to Meet Its contractual Obligations: The Peripheral Canal (Delta Facility) and Other Facilities in Senate Bill 200 were Approved and Funded in the 1960's. The Money was Spent, but the Facilities were Never Built*, 1982.

Patrick Porgans, Government Regulatory Specialist, Red-Tape Abatement, Ltd., *Preliminary Report – Request for an Independent Audit of the California State Water Project*, 1987.

Patrick Porgans, Government Regulatory Specialist, Red-Tape Abatement, Ltd., *Summary Report – Independent Assessment of the State Water Project's Kern Water Bank*, 1988.

Patrick Porgans and Associates, *Draft: State of the State Water Project, Supply, Demand, Financing and Management, Independent (Pro Bono) Report, Prepared for the California senate Committee on Agriculture and Water Resources*, 1994.

<sup>13</sup> Patrick Porgans, Solutionist, *Summary Report, California Department of Water Resources Relicensing of the State Water Project's Oroville Facilities – Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Project No. 2100, Subject: Notification to Plenary Group of P&A's Decision to suspend Participation in the Alternative Licensing Procedure and of Our Intent to Inform FERC and the Public of the ALP's Inherent Shortcomings which are Diametric to Meaningful Public Input, Government's Trust Responsibilities and the Department of Water Resources' Written Assurances*, 2004.

<sup>14</sup> Statement made by Tom Clark, general manager, Kern County Water Agency, before the Senate Committee on Agriculture and Water Resources' Hearing on the *State Water Project: Supply, Demand and Financing, as recorded by the California State Senate Television Program*, Tape #1. 31 January 1994.

<sup>15</sup> Patrick Porgans, Government Regulatory Specialist, *Flood Storage and Water Releases at Oroville Dam, State Water Project, between 1969 through 1980*, February 1980.

---

Patrick Porgans, Government Regulatory Specialist, Preliminary Report, ***Flood Storage and water Releases at Oroville Dam, State Water Project, between January 7 – 18, 1980***, March 1980.

Patrick Porgans, Government Regulatory Specialist, ***Supplemental Report, Flood Storage and water Releases at Oroville Dam, State Water Project, between January 7 – 18, 1980***, May 1980.

Patrick Porgans, Government Regulatory Specialist, ***Flood Storage and water Releases at Oroville Dam, State Water Project, Final Report, Administrative Rules and Regulations in Designated Floodways of California***, September 1980.

Patrick Porgans, Government Regulatory Specialist, ***Oroville Dam and reservoir, California State Water Project, flood Control Laws, Floodwater Releases, Erosion and Channel Scouring, Preliminary Performance Report***, 1985.

Patrick Porgans, Government Regulatory Specialist, ***Preliminary Performance Report – Flood storage and water Releases at Oroville Dam, State water Project – February 1986***, published in 1987. Porgans and Associates, ***Preliminary Performance Report – Flood Storage and Water Releases at New Bullards Bar Dam and Reservoir, North Yuba River, Yuba County Water Agency, Project – February 1986 Phase – II, Linda-Olivehurst Study***, 1987.

Patrick Porgans, Government Regulatory Specialist, ***Final Report, Vol. I: Feather River Enhancement Project: Plan to Protect Private Property and Public Trust Resources, Plan of Action***, 1996.

Patrick Porgans and Associates, ***Initial Inquiry into the Regulatory and Fiduciary Relationship between the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the California Department of Water Resources: To Ascertain if Their Relationships Present an Unnecessary Risk to the Public***, 1996.

Patrick Porgans, Government Regulatory Specialist, ***Feather River Watershed, Preliminary Assessment, Government Bathymetric Studies Documenting Sediment Deposition at Lake Oroville and Geomorphologic Changes within the Feather River Watershed, Above and below Oroville Dam***, 1997.

Porgans and Associates, ***Preliminary Assessment, Feather and Yuba Rivers Water Basins – Watershed Conditions and Government Activities Leading up to the 1986-1997 Floods***, published 1997.

Patrick Porgans and Associates, Inc., ***Fact-Finding Report, Natural and Government-induced Factors and Projects Identified with Flood Damages and Property Losses Sustained by Clients, in the Lower Feather River Basin, below Oroville Dam***, 1999.